



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,343	07/02/2003	Keith Gilstrap	KG0006	5135	
36489	7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	LEYENDECKER LEMIRE & DALEY, LLC			ELKINS, GARY E	
	LIO IP P.O BOX 52057 IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, <del></del>		3727		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,343	GILSTRAP ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary E. Elkins	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	·	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			-
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be t	·		(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  Iments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/942)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	``''	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/613,343 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a device, classified in class 224, subclass 420.
- II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a method of using a device, classified in class 280, subclass 288.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different method of use. For example, the device of claims 1-20 could be used by attaching the device to a lamppost or to the tubular frame within the bed of a pickup truck as opposed to claims 21-28 which require the step of attaching the device to the seat post of a bicycle. Also, the device of claims 1-20 could be used to secure the wheel of a wheelbarrow or merely the axle of a bicycle wheel as opposed to claims 21-28 which require the step of securing a bicycle wheel to the device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

gee

17 February 2005